### Helium atom From optical pumping to B.E.C.

Claude Cohen-Tannoudji

Inauguration meeting and Celebration of Lev Pitaevskii's 70th birthday

Trento, 14/03/03

1

### **Helium atom**

- A simple atom, the simplest one after Hydrogen High resolution sectroscopy. Tests of QED
- Two isotopes

He<sub>3</sub> Fermion  $I = \frac{1}{2}$  He<sub>4</sub> Boson I = 0

 Small mass → Large de Broglie wavelength Large zero-point energy

### **Purpose of this lecture**

Present a brief survey of studies performed on Helium atoms, starting from optical pumping of  $He_3$  and ending with B.E.C. of  $He_4$  in the metastable triplet state

### NUCLEAR POLARIZATION OF <sup>3</sup>He BY OPTICAL PUMPING

### Laser manipulation of Helium



Not easy because the first excited state is the metastable state 2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  lying 20 eV above the ground state 1  ${}^{1}S_{0}$ 

It is however possible to populate the long lived 2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$ state by a discharge and to use the 2  ${}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 2 \, {}^{3}P_{0,1,2}$ transition at 1083 nm for manipulating both isotopes of Helium

# Polarizing the nuclear spins of He<sub>3</sub> by optical pumping

Possibility to optically pump the long lived 2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  state by using the 2  ${}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 2 \, {}^{3}P_{0,1,2}$  transitions at 1080 nm In this state, the nuclei become polarized by interacting with the polarized electrons (through hyperfine coupling)

In a collision between two Helium atoms, one in 2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$ , one in 1  ${}^{1}S_{0}$ , the metastability can be transferred from the first atom to the second one

The collision time is so short that the nuclear magnetic moment does not evolve during the collision and remains polarized while the electronic cloud jumps from 2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  to 1  ${}^{1}S_{0}$  (F. Colegrove, L. Shearer, K. Walters 1963)

A. Kastler was considering this method as an « Extension of Franck-Condon principle to nuclear spins » High degrees of nuclear polarization (up to 85%)

### **Nuclear relaxation times**

Nuclear magnetic moments are small  $\rightarrow$  Weak magnetic couplings

The He<sub>3</sub> nucleus has a spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  No quadrupole moment
- $\rightarrow$  No electric coupling with the electric field gradients

As a consequence, nuclear relaxation times are very long The  $T_1$  relaxation time can reach 5 days in a glass cell with a Cesium coating!

### Example of earlier studies

Detection of the static magnetic field produced at a macroscopic distance by polarized  $He_3$  nuclei using the « Hanle effect » in the ground state of  $Rb_{87}$ 

### Hanle zero-field level crossing resonance in the ground state of Rb<sub>87</sub>

SI Magnetometer with a sensitivity of  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  Gauss 0 Manuly BRUIT (sensibilité X 100) J. Dupont-Roc, S. Haroche, 2 0 ġ. 1 Ho(µG) C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Lett. <u>28A</u>, 638 (1969) 2×10-9 G temps

2

0 1

3

(mn)

## Magnetostatic detection of the static magnetic field produced by polarized He<sub>3</sub> nuclei



C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, S. Haroche, F. Laloë Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>22</u>, 758 (1971) Present state of the art

#### Nuclear polarization





N. Bigelow, P- J. Nacher, M. Leduc J. de Physique, II <u>2</u>, 2159 (1992)



W. Heil, H. Humblot, E. Otten, M. Schafer, R. Surkau, M. Leduc Phys. Lett. <u>A201</u>, 337 (1995)

### **MRI Images of the Human Chest**



Proton-MRI <sup>3</sup>He-MRI

Duke Univ., CAMRD http://camrd4.mc.duke.edu/ (1997)

Human lung MRI centres :

- Princeton
- Mainz U., Paris-Orsay, Nottingham U
- Duke U., U. of Virginia, U. of Pennsylvania
- Boston B&W H., St Louis About 10 more centres getting started
- 10

### SPIN POLARIZED <sup>3</sup>He A DILUTE QUANTUM FLUID

## Spin-polarized He<sub>3</sub> A dilute quantum gas

Two spin-polarized  $He_3$  atoms in the ground state cannot collide in a s-wave

Their minimum distance of approach is given by the de Broglie wavelength  $\lambda_{dB} = h / mv$ 

This is <u>not</u> due to spin-spin interactions (which are extremely small), but this is a consequence of <u>Fermi statistics</u>

At low enough temperatures,  $\lambda_{dB}$  becomes larger than the range of the atom-atom interaction potential, and the polarized gas behaves as a perfect gas

### Theoretical investigation of these effects

C. Lhuillier, F. Laloë J. de Physique, <u>40</u>, 239 (1979) J. de Physique, <u>43</u>, 127 and 225 (1982) SPOQS meetings

Prediction of a modification of the transport properties of the polarized gas (thermal conductivity, viscosity)

Collective oscillatory modes for the spin degrees of freedom (spin waves)

### More recent development

Inefficiency of evaporative cooling for laser cooled, magnetically trapped Fermionic gases

### **Example of experimental investigation**

Modification of the thermal conductivity of a spin-polarized He<sub>3</sub> gas



M. Leduc, P-J. Nacher, D. Betts, J. Daniels, G. Tastevin, F. Laloë Europhys. Lett. <u>4</u>, 59 (1987)

### SUBRECOIL LASER COOLING OF <sup>4</sup>He



Pure 3-level  $\Lambda$ -system leading to coherent population trapping

The detuning from Raman resonance is provided by the Doppler effect which is opposite for the 2 counterpropagating waves « Velocity Selective Coherent Population Trapping » (VSCPT)

### Subrecoil laser cooling by VSCPT

Inhomogeneous random walk in velocity space with a jump rate  $R_F$  vanishing at zero velocity where atoms pile up

No lower limit to the velocity spread which can be achieved



A.Aspect, E.Arimondo, R.Kaiser, N.Vansteenkiste, C.Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>61</u>, 826 (1988)

## First experimental observation of subrecoil cooling



A.Aspect, E.Arimondo, R.Kaiser, N.Vansteenkiste, C.Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>61</u>, 826 (1988)

Adiabatic transfer of atoms into a single peak

Switching off adiabatically one of the laser beams



Analogy with STIRAP

### **Extension to 2 dimensions**

Theory : M. Olshanii



S.Kulin, B.Saubamea, E.Peik, J.Lawall, T.Hijmans, M.Leduc, C.Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>78</u>, 4185 (1997)

### **Extension to 3 dimensions**

## Coherent manipulation of atomic wave packets in the nK range



S.Kulin, B.Saubamea, E.Peik, J.Lawall, T.Hijmans, M.Leduc, C.Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>78</u>, 4185 (1997)



François Bardou, Jean-Philippe Bouchaud, Alain Aspect & Claude Cohen-Tannoudji

CAMBRIDGE

#### Momentum distribution of He<sub>4</sub> atoms cooled in the nK range

Comparison with theoretical calculations based on Lévy statistics and predicting non ergodic effects



23

### BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATION OF METASTABLE <sup>4</sup>He

### **Penning collisions for He**<sup>\*</sup>

```
\begin{split} \text{He}^*(2\ {}^3\text{S}_1) + \text{He}^*(2\ {}^3\text{S}_1) \rightarrow \text{He}\ (1\ {}^1\text{S}_0) + \text{He}^+ + \text{e}^- \\ & \rightarrow (\text{He}_2)^+ + \text{e}^- \end{split}
```

The energy of the 2  $^3\mathrm{S}_1$  state is about 20 eV above the ground state

Penning cross-sections have huge cross-sections which, at first sight, should prevent any Bose-Einstein condensation in this state

<u>But</u>, He<sup>\*</sup> atoms are spin-polarized in a magnetic trap, and the conservation of the total spin is expected to dramatically reduce the Penning cross-section

### Quenching of Penning collisions in a spin-polarized sample

 $\begin{array}{rll} \text{He}^*(2\ {}^3\text{S}_1) \ + \ \text{He}^*(2\ {}^3\text{S}_1) \ \to \ \text{He}\ (1\ {}^1\text{S}_0) \ + \ \text{He}^+ \ + \ e^- \\ \text{S=1, M=1} & \text{S=1, M=1} & \text{S=0} & \text{S=1/2} & \text{S=1/2} \end{array}$ 

The total spin is equal to 2 before the collision and to 1 or 0 after the collision. It cannot be conserved.

But this conservation law is not strict. Spin-spin dipole couplings during the collision can slightly mix states with different values of the total spin

The net effect is that Penning collisions are expected to be reduced by 5 orders of magnitude when the sample is spin-polarized

G. Shlyapnikov, J. Walraven, U. Rahmanov, W. Reynolds, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>73</u>, 3247 (1994)

### Bose-Einstein condensation of metastable helium IOTA - Orsay



A. Robert, O. Sirjean, A. Browaeys, J. Poupard, S. Nowak, D. Boiron, C. Westbrook, A. Aspect, Science, <u>292</u>, 461 (2001)

### Bose-Einstein condensation of metastable helium ENS -Paris



F. Pereira Dos Santos, J. Léonard, J. Wang, C. Barrelet,
F. Perales, E. Rasel, C. Unnikrishnan, M. Leduc,
C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>86</u>, 3459 (2001)

### **Ballistic expansion of the condensate**

Inversion of the ellipticity Clear signature of the condensation



F. Pereira Dos Santos, J. Léonard, J. Wang, C. Barrelet,
F. Perales, E. Rasel, C. Unnikrishnan, M. Leduc,
C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>86</u>, 3459 (2001)

### A few perspectives

- -Condensate of atoms having a high internal energy Atom lasers Atom lithography
- Possibility to detect the atoms one by one Atom statistics Higher order correlation functions
- Large collision cross-sections
   Investigation of collisional processes (2-body, 3-body)
   Hydrodynamic regime
- Photo-association
  - Molecules with 2 metastable atoms?
- <sup>4</sup>He <sup>3</sup>He mixtures



### Long distance potential curves

#### A. Mosk



Investigation by the Amsterdam-Utrecht groups of a few molecular states formed by one-color photo-association below the frequency of the  $2 {}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 2 {}^{3}P_{2}$  transition lon detection PRL <u>84</u>, 1874 (2000)

In Paris, we study the molecular states in the potential  $O_u^+$  which depends only on long distance interactions

- Pure long range molecular potential
- Detection by the losses of the atomic cloud

J. Leonard A. Mosk M. Walhout T. Müller M. Leduc C. C-T

### Pure long range molecules in the well $O_u^+$

Laser diode continuouly tuned over 3 nm with temperature



33

## 2nd Generation of experiments « Accurate » frequency measurement

- Instead of using a large frequency scan, we lock the PA laser on the atomic line and we detune it with AOM's
   <300 kHz absolute accuracy</li>
- We correct for the Zeeman shift (magnetic trap) and for the temperature shift

	tabulated C <sub>3</sub>	1% change in C <sub>3</sub>	Experiment
v=4, J=1	18.4	$\pm 0.5~{ m MHz}$	$18.4\pm0.3$
v=3, J=1	80.4	$\pm ~1.4~\mathrm{MHz}$	$80.1\pm0.3$
v=2, J=1	255.3	$\pm~2.5~\mathrm{MHz}$	soon
v=1, J=1	653.5	$\pm$ 3.3 MHz	soon
v=0, J=1	1428.0	$\pm~2.5~\mathrm{MHz}$	XXX

### **Preliminary results**

Hope to improve the determination of C<sub>3</sub>